UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,934	08/18/2003	Binh T. Nguyen	IGT1P279/P-835	4848
79646 7590 06/24/2009 Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT Attn: IGT			EXAMINER	
			JONES, MARCUS D	
P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,934	NGUYEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARCUS D. JONES	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	∕ IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 M</u>	arch 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 14-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-12, and 14-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>IDS (27 March 2009)</u> . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 March 2009 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3-12, and 14-24 are currently pending.

Claims 2, 13 and 25-37 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 13, 5-9, 14-20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boyd et al. (US PGPub 2003/0070178).

In reference to claim 1, Boyd discloses: A computer-implemented method, comprising:

Art Unit: 3714

receiving data indicative of a gaming unit on which a player has chosen to play a selected one or more games in a tournament (pg 5, par 92, The player is required to create an account and disclose his name, address, and credit card information. The disclosed information is stored in the player's table in the database), wherein the chosen gaming unit is not configured for playing the one or more selected games in the tournament and gaming software for the one or more selected games is not stored on the chosen gaming unit when the data is received (pg 4, par 75, When a player is ready to participate, he loads up his lounge client. The lounge client automatically connects to the lounge server, as the location of the server is stored within the client); obtaining the gaming software for the one or more selected games and configuration data for configuring the chosen gaming machine for playing the selected one or more games in the tournament, wherein the gaming software can effectively configure the chosen gaming unit for playing the one or more games in a tournament; after the player has chosen the gaming unit, loading the gaming software for the one or more selected games and the configuration data to the chosen gaming unit, thereby effectively configuring the chosen gaming machine for participation in the tournament play of the one or more selected games and enabling the player to use the chosen gaming machine to play the one or more games in the tournament (pg 5, par 87, Before the player may use the client program, he must first download and install it. The install program is install shield and it appropriately creates various directories and places files within the directories); receiving a fee from a player to play in the tournament (pg 1-2, par 13, Tournament games have a "buy-in"); i) determining a single winning player of

Art Unit: 3714

the tournament, if any; and if the winning player of the tournament is determined, generating data indicative of a value payout to be awarded to the winning player; or ii) determining a plurality of winning players of the tournament and generating data indicative of a plurality of respective value payouts to be awarded to the plurality of winning players, wherein the plurality of respective value payouts comprises a plurality of shares of a jackpot (pg 9-10, par 144, When a player wins a tournament or places high enough that his awarded a prize, the credit will be issued directly to his account. Boyd discloses both single and multiple winners in the form of "placing". Boyd also discusses splitting the prize pool among multiple winners (pg 1. par 12)).

In reference to claim 3, Boyd discloses: wherein loading gaming software to the chosen gaming unit comprises transmitting the gaming software to the gaming unit via a network (pg 5, par 87, *The client program is packed in a ZIP file and located at the system's website server*).

In reference to claims 5 and 16, Boyd discloses: confirming that the gaming software was loaded to the gaming unit successfully (pg 5, par 89, *When the player logs in with his lounge client, the client sends a check sum to the lounge server and compares it to an expected value*).

In reference to claims 6 and 17, Boyd discloses: authenticating the gaming software after loading the gaming software to the gaming unit (pg 5, par 88, *If the lounge server determines that the client program is out of date, then the server initiates a forced update*).

Art Unit: 3714

In reference to claims 7, 8, 9, 18, 19 and 20, Boyd discloses: wherein the gaming software comprises an executable file, a configuration file and a data file (pg 4, par 83, executable program and pg 5, par 97, configuration file).

In reference to claim 14, The disclosure of Boyd as applied to claim 1 has been discussed above. Boyd further discloses a lounge server whose functions include orchestrating and managing various tournaments and rind games including the merging of tables and tracking participating players, spawning table server and sending and receiving messages (pg 3-4, par 74). The lounge server also runs on the system hardware (pg 3, par 73 and pg 4, par 81). It is further inherent that a server includes a network interface and a controller. The controller configured to perform the method of claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1 above).

In reference to claim 15, Boyd discloses: wherein the controller is further configured to: determine whether the chosen gaming unit is already configured for playing in the tournament; and load gaming software to the chosen gaming unit only if the gaming unit is not already configured for playing in the tournament (pg 5, par 93, the lounge client is first downloaded and then installed by a player from a website).

In reference to claim 24, Boyd discloses: wherein the controller is further configured to transmit a plurality of indicators of outcomes of games to the gaming unit (pg 10, par 146, *The system logs every hand and every action. Thus, every time a player is seated, they are dealt cards, or actions occur (bets, hand, wins, etc) the information is stored in a hand log relative to the table at which the play occurred).*

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd et al. (US PGPub 2003/0070178), and further in view of Massey et al. (US PGPub 2004/0248652).

In reference to claim 4, Boyd discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for loading gaming software from other game media. Massey teaches a proprietary CD version of the game to be present in the client computers (pg 3, par 46).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Boyd in view of Massey to include other means of playing the game client.

Art Unit: 3714

6. Claims 10 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd et al. (US PGPub 2003/0070178), and further in view of Morrow et al. (US PGPub 2003/0064771).

In reference to claims 10 and 21, Boyd discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not specifically disclose the gaming software comprising a pay table. Boyd describes a poker tournament system however, the tournament management system could be used with any game of skill or chance. Morrow teaches a reconfigurable gaming machine wherein the game on the gaming machine may be charged by transferring new game software via a network. Morrow also teaches that the new pay table may be downloaded (pg 2, par 12).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary kill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Boyd in view of Morrow to include the step of transferring a new pay table along with corresponding game software in order to provide a greater variety of games to players.

7. Claims 11, 12, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd et al. (US PGPub 2003/0070178), and further in view of Halliburton et al. (US PGPub 2002/0052229).

In reference to claims 11, 12, 22 and 23, Boyd discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Boyd discloses that the lounge server randomly assigns the players to the various tables (pg 7, par 119), but does not specifically disclose randomly or pseudo-randomly generating a plurality of seeds for a random number generator to be implemented by the gaming unit. Halliburton teaches a solitaire game played over

the Internet that uses server/client architecture. The sequence of cards for each player is determined by using a randomly generated seed to locally generate a random sequence of cards (pg 5, par 45).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Boyd in view of Halliburton to include the step of the server randomly generating a plurality of seeds and sending them to game playing clients for generating random outcomes in order to provide more effective random number generation since the seed is generated independently of the gaming unit.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCUS D. JONES whose telephone number is (571)270-3773. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST, Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John M. Hotaling can be reached on 571-272-4437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marcus D. Jones/ Examiner, Art Unit 3714 /John M Hotaling II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714